

(B)

Math 35 b H.W. #2

§2.4: 4 a) c), (13)

§2.5: (6) & b)

§3.1: (4) 7

§3.2: (4), 13 a) b)

§3.3: (2), 26 a) b)

§2.4 #4 a) c)

(A) Let $d = \gcd(a+b, a-b)$.

Then $d|a+b, d|a-b$.

Since $2a = (a+b) + (a-b)$; $2b = (a+b) - (a-b)$,

we have $d|2a, d|2b$.

By Thm 2.7, $\gcd(2a, 2b) = 2 \gcd(a, b) = 2$.

Hence $d|2 \Rightarrow d=1$ or $d=2$.

(G) Let $d = \gcd(a+b, a^2+b^2)$.

Since $2a^2 = (a+b)(a-b) + (a^2+b^2)$;

$2b^2 = -(a+b)(a-b) + (a^2+b^2)$,

we have

$d|2a^2, d|2b^2$.

By assumption, $\gcd(a, b) = 1$. Hence

$ax + by = 1$ for some $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Hence $ax = 1 - by$. Squaring both sides,

$a^2x^2 = 1 - 2by + b^2y^2$

$\Rightarrow 2by = 1 + b^2y^2 - a^2x^2$. Squaring both sides again,

$4b^2y^2 = 1 + b^4y^4 + a^4x^4 - 2a^2x^2 + 2b^2y^2 - 2a^2b^2x^2y^2$.

Hence $-a^4x^4 + 2a^2x^2 + 2b^2y^2 - b^4y^4 + 2a^2b^2x^2y^2 = 1$

$\Rightarrow \underbrace{a^2(-a^2x^4 + 2x^2)}_u + \underbrace{b^2(2y^2 - b^2y^4 + 2a^2x^2y^2)}_v = 1$

Hence $a^2u + b^2v = 1$. By Thm 2.4,

$\gcd(a^2, b^2) = 1$. (You can also apply Problem 20 a)

in §2.3 twice to obtain this.)

Hence $\gcd(2a^2, 2b^2) = 2 \gcd(a^2, b^2) = 2$. Therefore $d|2 \Rightarrow$
 $d=1$ or $d=2$.

(B.2)

(*) §2.4 #12 Let $d = \gcd(198, 288)$. Then

$$\tilde{d} = \gcd(198, 288, 512) = \gcd(d, 512).$$

$$\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 198 \overline{) 288} \\ \underline{198} \\ 90 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ 90 \overline{) 198} \\ \underline{180} \\ \underline{(18)} = d \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ 18 \overline{) 90} \\ \underline{90} \\ 0 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d = 18 &= 198 - 2 \cdot 90 = 198 - 2(288 - 198) \\ &= 3 \cdot 198 + (-2) \cdot 288. \end{aligned}$$

$$\tilde{d} = \gcd(18, 512).$$

$$\begin{array}{r} 28 \\ 18 \overline{) 512} \\ \underline{36} \\ 152 \\ \underline{144} \\ 8 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ 8 \overline{) 18} \\ \underline{16} \\ \underline{(2)} = \tilde{d} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ 2 \overline{) 8} \\ \underline{8} \\ 0 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{d} = 2 &= 18 - 2 \cdot 8 = 18 - 2(512 - 28 \cdot 18) \\ &= 57 \cdot 18 + (-2) \cdot 512 \\ &= 57(3 \cdot 198 + (-2) \cdot 288) + (-2) \cdot 512 \\ &= \underbrace{171}_{x} \cdot 198 + \underbrace{(-114)}_{y} \cdot 288 + \underbrace{(-2)}_{z} \cdot 512. \end{aligned}$$

133

Math 356 H.W. #2

§2.5: (6) (b); §3.1: (4), 7; §3.2: (4), 13(a), (b); §3.3: (2), 26(a), (b)

7 §2.5 (b):

Let $x = \#$ of calves; $y = \#$ of lambs; $z = \#$ of piglets.

Then

$$x + y + z = 100; \quad 120x + 50y + 25z = 4000.$$

Hence

$$120x + 50y + 25(100 - x - y) = 4000$$

$$95x + 25y = 1500 \quad \text{--- (*)}$$

$$\Rightarrow 19x + 5y = 300; \quad \gcd(19, 5) = 1$$

$$\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 5 \overline{) 19} \\ \underline{15} \\ 4 \end{array}$$

$$19 = 3 \cdot 5 + 4$$

$$\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 4 \overline{) 5} \\ \underline{4} \\ 1 \end{array}$$

$$5 = 4 \cdot 1 + 1$$

\Rightarrow

$$1 = 5 - 4 \cdot 1$$

$$= 5 - (19 - 3 \cdot 5)$$

$$= 4 \cdot 5 + (-1) \cdot 19.$$

Hence

$$5 = 4 \cdot 25 + (-1) \cdot 95$$

$$1500 = 1200 \cdot 25 + (-300) \cdot 95$$

Special solution to the Diophantine equations:

$$x = -300; \quad y = 1200$$

Since $\gcd(95, 25) = 5$, the general solution to (*) is

$$x = -300 + \frac{25}{5}t = -300 + 5t; \quad y = 1200 - \frac{95}{5}t = 1200 - 19t.$$

$$x > 0 \Rightarrow -300 + 5t > 0; \quad t > \frac{300}{5} = 60$$

$$y > 0 \Rightarrow 1200 - 19t > 0; \quad t < \frac{1200}{19} = 63.2$$

Hence $t = 61, 62, 63$. In these cases, we have

$$(x, y, z) = (5, 41, 54); (10, 22, 68); (15, 3, 82).$$

84

§2.5 8b) Let $x = \#$ of fruits in each pile
 $y = \#$ of fruits each traveler gets.

then

$$63x + 7 = 23y$$

$$\Rightarrow -63x + 23y = 7 \quad (*)$$

$$\gcd(63, 23) = 1.$$

$$23 \begin{array}{r} 2 \\ \hline 63 \\ 46 \\ \hline 17 \end{array}$$

$$17 \begin{array}{r} 1 \\ \hline 23 \\ 17 \\ \hline 6 \end{array}$$

$$6 \begin{array}{r} 2 \\ \hline 17 \\ 12 \\ \hline 5 \end{array}$$

$$1 = 6 - 5$$

$$= 6 - (17 - 2 \cdot 6) = -17 + 3 \cdot 6$$

$$= -17 + 3 \cdot (23 - 17)$$

$$= 3 \cdot 23 - 4 \cdot 17 = 3 \cdot 23 - 4 \cdot (63 - 2 \cdot 23)$$

$$= 11 \cdot 23 + (-4) \cdot 63$$

$$5 \begin{array}{r} 1 \\ \hline 63 = 2 \cdot 23 + 17 \\ 6 \end{array}$$

$$\frac{5}{1}$$

$$6 = 5 \cdot 1 + 1$$

$$23 = 17 + 6$$

$$17 = 2 \cdot 6 + 5$$

$$\Rightarrow 7 = 77 \cdot 23 + (-28) \cdot 63$$

special solution to (*): $x_0 = 28$; $y_0 = 77$.

general solution $x = 28 + 23t$; $y = 77 + 63t$

$$x > 0 \Rightarrow 28 + 23t > 0 \Rightarrow t > -\frac{28}{23} \approx -1.2$$

$$y > 0 \Rightarrow 77 + 63t > 0 \Rightarrow t > -\frac{77}{63} \approx -1.2$$

Hence t can take any integer ≥ -1 .

For example, when $t = -1$; $x = 5$; $y = 14$
 $t = 0$; $x = 28$; $y = 77$.

§3.1 #4 Let $p \geq 5$ be a prime. Then p must be of the form $p = 1 + 6k$ or $p = 5 + 6k$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k \geq 1$).

[All the integers of forms $6k$, $6k+2$, $6k+3$, $6k+4$ are composite numbers.]

when $p = 1 + 6k$:

$$p^2 + 2 = (1 + 6k)^2 + 2 = 36k^2 + 12k + 3 = 3(12k^2 + 4k + 1)$$

— a composite.

(E5)

When $p = 5 + 6k$:

$$p^2 + 2 = (5 + 6k)^2 + 2 = 36k^2 + 60k + 27 = 3(12k^2 + 20k + 9)$$

— a composite.

E3.1 #7 Since $50! = 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot \dots \cdot 49 \cdot 50$, all prime

numbers that divide $50!$ are all primes ≤ 50 . They

are:

2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31, 37, 41, 43, 47.

⊕ E3.2 #4

4 a) Proving by contradiction, we assume $\sqrt[p]{p}$ is a rational number. Then

$$\sqrt[p]{p} = \frac{a}{b}, \quad a, b > 0, \quad \gcd(a, b) = 1.$$

$$\text{Then } p = \frac{a^p}{b^p} \Rightarrow p \cdot b^p = a^p.$$

$$\text{Hence } p \mid a^p \Rightarrow p \mid a. \quad \text{Write } a = p \cdot c.$$

$$\text{Then } p \cdot b^p = a^p = p^p \cdot c^p \Rightarrow b^p = p^{p-1} \cdot c^p.$$

$$\text{Hence } p \mid b^p \Rightarrow p \mid b. \quad \text{Therefore } p \mid \gcd(a, b).$$

Hence $p = 1$, contradicting the assumption that p is a prime.

3 b) Write $\sqrt[n]{a} = \frac{x}{y}$, $x, y > 0$, $\gcd(x, y) = 1$.

$$\text{Then } a = \frac{x^n}{y^n} \Rightarrow x^n = a \cdot y^n.$$

$$\text{Hence } y \mid x^n \Rightarrow y \mid x \cdot x^{n-1}. \quad \text{Since } \gcd(x, y) = 1,$$

$$\Rightarrow y \mid x^{n-1}. \quad \text{Proceeding in this way, we have}$$

(Euclid's Lemma)

$$y \mid x^0 \Rightarrow y = 1. \quad \text{Hence } \sqrt[n]{a} = x,$$

an integer. \square

(B)

3) c) Proving by contradiction, we assume that $\sqrt[n]{n}$ is rational. By part b), $\sqrt[n]{n}$ must be an integer.

Since $2^n > n$, we have $2 > \sqrt[n]{n}$. Hence

$\sqrt[n]{n} = 1 \Rightarrow n = 1$. This contradicts the assumption that $n \geq 2$.

§3.2 #13

a) Since $n|m$, $m = nk$ for some $k \geq 0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} R_m &= \frac{10^m - 1}{9} = \frac{1}{9}(10^{nk} - 1) = \frac{1}{9}((10^n)^k - 1) \\ &= \frac{1}{9}(10^n - 1) \underbrace{\left((10^n)^{k-1} + (10^n)^{k-2} + \dots + (10^n)^1 + 1 \right)}_a \\ &= R_n \cdot a \end{aligned}$$

Hence $R_n | R_m$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{b) } R_{n+m} &= \frac{1}{9}(10^{m+n} - 1) = \frac{1}{9}(10^{m+n} - 10^m + 10^m - 1) \\ &= \frac{1}{9}(10^{m+n} - 10^m) + \frac{1}{9}(10^m - 1) \\ &= 10^m \cdot \frac{1}{9}(10^n - 1) + \frac{1}{9}(10^m - 1) = 10^m R_n + R_m. \end{aligned}$$

Since $d | R_m$, $d | R_n$, we have $d | R_{n+m}$.

⊛ §3.3 #2

4) (a) Let p , $p+2$ be twin primes. Then

$$1 + p(p+2) = p^2 + 2p + 1 = (p+1)^2 \text{ — a perfect square.}$$

(37)

Since p is a prime,

(b) p must be of the forms $p = 6k + 1$ or $p = 6k + 5$.

When $p = 6k + 1$, then $p + 2 = 6k + 1 + 2 = 6k + 3 = 3(2k + 1)$,
— a composite number.

Therefore, p cannot be of form $6k + 1$. Hence it must
be of form $p = 6k + 5$.

$$p + (p + 2) = 2p + 2 = 12k + 10 + 2 = 12(k + 1).$$

Hence the sum of twin primes p & $p + 2$ is divisible
by 12.

§3.3 #26

(a) All numbers ending with 33 are of the form:
 $33 + 100 \cdot k$.

Since $\gcd(33, 100) = 1$, by the Dirichlet theorem,
there are infinitely many of primes in this form.

(b) Consider positive integers of form
 $21k + 5$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$.

Since $\gcd(21, 5) = 1$, by the Dirichlet theorem, there
are infinitely many primes in this form. We now prove
that none of the twin primes have this form.

Assuming to the contrary, there is a ^{twin} prime

$$p = 21k + 5. \text{ Then } p + 2 = 21k + 5 + 2 = 7(3k + 1)$$

& $p - 2 = 21k + 3 = 3(7k + 1)$ both are composite
numbers. Hence p cannot be a twin prime!

This contradiction proves the assertion!